



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/812,264

03/29/2004

Hasler Hayes

120-344

2767

76661

7590

08/25/2008

DAVID A. DAGG, ESQ.
44 CHAPIN ROAD
NEWTON, MA 02459

EXAMINER

LONSBERRY, HUNTER B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2623

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/25/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DAVE@DAVEDAGG.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/812,264	Applicant(s) HAYES ET AL.	
	Examiner HUNTER B. LONSBERRY	Art Unit 2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costa, U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0143849 in view of US 7,369,743 B2 to Watkins.

(claim 6 is most representative and will be analyzed and rejected first)

Regarding Claim 6, Costa discloses a system for providing video data (fig. 7, abstract), comprising:

video source equipment operable to receive video data in a variable bit rate data

stream (212 serves this purpose) and to transcode said video data into a constant bit rate data stream (220 serves this purpose) "between" said video source equipment (212) and video destination equipment (230, 232; It should be

noted that transcoding 220 is "between" 212 and 230,232, see fig. 9) wherein said constant bit rate data stream has a data rate exceeding a minimum display rate (paragraph [0044], in Costa, the link rate 1.5 Mbps would be the presumptive minimum display rate, and CBR can be higher at 2 Mbps as disclosed); and

wherein said video destination equipment (230,232) is operable to receive said video data in said constant bit rate stream (reception at 230, 232 is of CBR bit streams, see FIG. 9), to transcode said video data into a variable bit rate stream for variable bit rate display processing to generate a display at said video destination (page 6, paragraph [0071], lines 3-7), said variable bit rate display processing varying between said minimum display rate and a maximum display rate (see paragraph [0081], Costa discloses here that VBR bit rates can vary between a range of 1.5 Mbps to 12 Mbps depending on the types of definition video for display); and to store excess received video data in at least one buffer within said video destination (page 3, paragraph [0036], lines 1-2).

Costa does not explicitly disclose a delay of the transcoded data at the video destination.

Watkins discloses the use of a PVR which induces a delay in received programming (figure 2) as the received broadcasted signal is buffered and converted into playback data for display (column 7, lines 3-59). PVRs allow users to record programs that a user desires and easily navigate through the programming, or pause a program for later viewing (column 11, lines 3-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Costa to utilize the PVR features as taught by Watkins for the previously mentioned advantages.

Regarding Claim 9, Costa does not teach video destination equipment that is further operable to receive a fast forward user command at said video destination, and to increase said display rate responsive to said received fast forward user command.

Watkins discloses a PVR but does not explicitly teach the use of a fast forward user command.

The Examiner takes official notice that PVR features such as fast forward, rewind, skip etc are notoriously well known in the art. Trick play features allow a user to easily navigate programming.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Costa and Watkins to utilize trick play features for the advantages of easy navigation within a program.

In regards to Claims 1 and 11, they have been analyzed and rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of Claim 6 above because the scope of the claims are similar.

In regards to Claim 3, it has been analyzed and rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of Claim 8 above because the scope of the claims are similar.

In regards to Claim 4, it has been analyzed and rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of Claim 9 above because the scope of the claims are similar.

Claims 2, 5, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costa.

Regarding Claim 7, Costa does not explicitly disclose a system wherein video destination equipment is further operable to determine said delay period is determined responsive to whether said video data represents a live event as claimed. However, such consideration is contemplated because Costa does provide a method to manage “real-time” data at the receiver (page 4, paragraph [0047], lines 1-14 and FIG.2). One skilled in the art would have recognized that a “nominal” delay must exist in Costa, and that the receiver must take that into account to simulate “real-time” display.

Regarding Claim 10, Costa discloses a system wherein video destination includes a personal video recorder device, wherein said personal video recorder includes a hard disc storage component, and wherein said at least one buffer is defined within said hard disc storage component (page 6, paragraph [0072], lines 1-7. Although Costa does not disclose the exact terms of this claim, he does list as an example a general purpose personal computer and it is well known that this device meets or exceeds the limitations of this claim).

Regarding Claim 2, it has been analyzed and rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of Claim 7 above because the scope of the claims are similar.

Regarding Claim 5, it has been analyzed and rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of Claim 10 above because the scope of the claims are similar.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costa, U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0143849 in view of US 7,369,743 B2 to Watkins in further view of Urdang (of record)

Regarding Claim 8, Costa and Watkins do not teach video destination equipment that is further operable to receive at least one retransmitted video data message at said video destination, to determine a location in said at least one buffer where a corresponding previous video data message belonged, and to write said at least one retransmitted video data message into said at least one buffer at said determined location

Urdang discloses a method for sending a message back to the data source and receiving the program material in the same program stream (Urdang, page 6, paragraph [0061], lines 1-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Urdang with Costa and Watkins to enable the system to receive

retransmitted video data messages and send them to the proper location. The ability to accommodate retransmitted video data messages would enable the system to provide better quality display by addressing missing or damaged video data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUNTER B. LONSBERRY whose telephone number is (571)272-7298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,264
Art Unit: 2623

Page 8

/Hunter B. Lonsberry/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2623

HBL